Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/008,566	RECHE-GALLARDO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Prema M Mertz	1646
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Prema M Mertz (Primary Examiner).	(3)	
(2) Tom Brody (Agent for Applicants).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>21 November 2003</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representativ	/e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-21</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>It was indicated to Tom Brody that the pending claims were difficult to examine and were totally unclear. Appropriate claim language was discussed. A preliminary amendment would be submitted by Applicants representative so that the Examiner could conduct a restriction on the claims.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sig	nature, if required